EXHIBIT 1

1	Angus F. Ni AFN Law PLLC	⁄⁄(Ṧ̀̇̀̇̇̇̇̇̇̇̇̇̇̇̇	
2	506 2nd Avenue, Suite 1400	ŒŒÁŒVÕÆÏÆF	
3	Seattle, WA, 98104 (646) 543-7294	ŧWŪÔÂÔŪ® ŴŪÔÀÜŪ®ÜÓÙWÚ	ÜVÁÔŠÒÜS
4	angus@afnlegal.com	ÖŒĞĞĞĞ DŒĞĞĞĞĞĞ	
5	Counsel for Plaintiffs		
6			
7	IN THE SUPERIO	R COURT FOR	THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
8	IN A	AND FOR THE C	COUNTY OF KING
9	WA&HF LLC,		Case No.
10	Ruizheng An		
11	Plaintiffs,		COMPLAINT FOR: 1. BRACH OF CONTRACT
12	v.		2. BREACH OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING
13	Saraca Media Group Inc.,		3. UNJUSTENRICHEMENT
14	Wengui Guo,		4. DEFAMATION
15			
16	Defendants.		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

I. NAUTRE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action by Plaintiff WA&HF LLC which entered into a "Software Development Agreement" ("SDA") with Defendant Saraca Media Group Inc. ("SMG") and performed under the SDA. Defendant SMG refused to pay the remaining contract price as specified in SDA, and Defendant Wengui Guo ("Guo") made false and defamatory statements about Plaintiffs in his online broadcasting to avoid payments under the SDA. This lawsuit seeks monetary damages.

II. PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff WA&HF LLC, ("WA&HF"), is a limited liability company, created, organized, and existing under the laws of State of Washington.
- 3. Plaintiff Ruizheng An ("An"), is a and at all relevant times a foreign national and resident of King County, Washington. Mr. An is also the CEO of WA&HF LLC.
- 4. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and therefore allege Defendant Saraca Media Group Inc., is and at all times mentioned herein a corporation, created, organized, and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware.
- 5. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and therefore allege Defendant Wengui Guo controls SMG, and is and was at all time mentioned herein a foreign national residing in the State of New York.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. The Superior Court of Washington has jurisdiction of Plaintiffs' claim pursuant to RCW 2.08.010.
- 7. The Superior Court of Washington has jurisdiction over Defendants pursuant to 4.28.185.
 - 8. Venue in King County is appropriate pursuant to RCW 4.12.020.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. On May 6, 2019, SMG entered into a "Software Development Agreement" with WA&HF in which WA&HF agreed to develop a video broadcast platform. The contract price was USD 797,825 plus any taxes.
 - 10. The SDA contains project specifications for the App to be developed (the "App" or

1 22. On June 8, 2020, Guo falsely stated in an online broadcasting that WA&HF installed 2 malicious software in the GTV codebase and stole G-coins (a form of virtual currency Guo was 3 marketing). Guo stated, referencing Mr. An and WA&HF: "I thought they did not have a Chinese 4 Communist Party background, but it does not seem to be that simple." 5 23. On June 9, 2020, Guo repeated the statement in another broadcast, stating: "as to 6 Pangxie (a pseudonym meaning "crab" that Guo used to refer to Mr. An), I thought he could not 7 collude with the Chinese Communist Party ... but it does not seem to be that simple." 8 24. Guo's live online broadcasts attracts thousands of viewers, the video recording of these particular broadcasts have since been viewed tens of thousands of times. 10 25. Multiple other online social media accounts have since publicly discussed Mr. An and 11 his company, portraying them in a negative manner while referencing Guo's numerous malicious 12 broadcasts. 13 26. Guo made the above false and defamatory statement about Plaintiff with malice, and 14 in order to avoid payment by intimidating Plaintiffs. 15 27. Guo defamatory statements accuse the plaintiffs of engaging in serious criminal 16 activities—cyber-attacks against a customer. 17 28. Guo defamatory statements have also harmed plaintiff's professional reputation in his 18 core industry: software development. 19 29. By calling him an agent of a foreign government sent to disrupt GTV, Guo's 20 defamatory statements also subjected the Mr. An to public hatred and contempt. 21 30. For example, since Guo's statements, Mr. An has been publicly castigated and insulted 22 repeatedly as a communist spy and an agent of the Chinese government on social media platforms. 23 31. Mr. An's personal information, including his picture, have been posted online by 24 Guo's social media followers. He is now the target of an online smear campaign instigated by Guo. 25 32. Guo defamatory statements constitute libel per se under the laws of Washington. 26 27

28

1	V. CAUSE OF ACTION		
2 3	FIRST COUNT BRECH OF CONTRACT (Against defendant SMG)		
4	33. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 – 32 inclusive herein above, by reference, as if set		
5	forth and pleaded herein.		
6	34. Valid and binding written agreement were made between the parties.		
7	35. Defendant SMG breached the agreement by failing to pay the 40% of the remaining		
8			
9	36. At all relevant times, Plaintiff WA&HF has fully performed all stipulations, conditions		
10			
11	37. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant SMG's breaches, Plaintiff WA&HF		
12			
13			
14	BRECH OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING		
15	38. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 –32 inclusive herein above, by reference, as if set		
16	6 forth and pleaded at length herein.		
17	39. The Agreement between the parties and valid and binding contract, which by operation		
18	of law, include an implied duty of good faith and fair dealing, requiring the parties to cooperate with		
19			
20	40. By and through Defendant SMG's acts and omission alleged here, Defendant SMG		
21	has breached the duty of good faith and fair dealing.		
22	41. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant SMG's breaches, Plaintiff WA&HF		
23	has sustained damages for the unpaid contract price.		
24			
25	UNJUST ENRICHMENT (Against defendant SMG)		
26	42. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 – 32 inclusive herein above, by reference, as if set		
27	forth and pleaded at length herein.		
28	43. By their acts and/or omissions herein alleged, defendant SMG has been unjustly		

1	enriched at the expense of Plaintiff WA&HF in the amount of the contract price owed.			
2	44. Defendant SMG knowingly have received or will receive a benefit under			
3	circumstances making it inequitable for SMG to retain the benefits.			
4	45. As a direct and proximate result of SMG's unjust enrichment, Plaintiff WA&HF is			
5	entitled to restitution in an amount to be proven at trial.			
6				
7	(115,011)			
8				
9	forth and pleaded at length herein.			
10	47. Guo deliberately and maliciously made false and defamatory statements about			
11	Plaintiffs in public online broadcasting, which constitutes the tort of defamation.			
12	VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
13	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief and judgment as follows:			
14	A. For a judgment in the amount of USD 319,130, plus contractual and/or statutory			
15	interest.			
16	B. Order Guo to publish a retraction of his statements to Plaintiffs in the same media as			
17	carried the original defamatory statements			
18	C. Enter judgements against Guo for damages in an amount to be determined at trial or			
19	other hearing			
20	D. Award Plaintiffs reasonable attorney's fee, costs and other expenses incurred in the			
21	prosecuting this action.			
22	E. Grant other relief as this court deems fair and appropriate.			
23	Date: August 17, 2020 AFN Law PLLC			
24				
	by: Anoug F Ni			
25	Aligus 1. IVI			
26	AFN Law PLLC 506 2nd Ave, Suite 1400			
27	Seattle, WA 98104 Phone: (646) 543-7294			
28				
	Attorneys For Plaintiffs			